

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,671	12/11/2001	Ryan J. Schoenefeld	5490-000266	4851	
27572	7590 03/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			DAVIS, DANIEL J		
	P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIE	LD HILLS, MI 46303		3731	<i>f</i> .	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	4 C/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

in the second of					
n	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
<u> </u>	10/014,671	SCHOENEFELD,	RYAN J		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1		
•	D. Jacob Davis	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			dress		
Period for Reply	,,,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		,			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•	e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,11,14 and 20</u> is/s	are withdrawn from conside	ration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10,12 and 15-19</u> is/are reject	ed.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 13</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage		
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/4/02,3/22/02.		Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/014,671

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

SPECIES REPRESENTATIVE FIGURES

A 1

B 5

a Driver is a handle (claim 14)

b Driver is a motor (claim 15)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 9 and 16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 3731

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Richard Warner on March 4, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species A and Species b, claims 1-5, 7-10, 12, 13, and 15-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 6, 11, 14 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use

Application/Control Number: 10/014,671

Art Unit: 3731

thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

In the present application, the description of the invention as encompassing a process is omitted.

The specification is objected to because Fig. 3c is omitted from the Brief Description of the Drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boucher et al. (US 5,658,289). Boucher discloses a guide wire 16, a clasping driven member 14 comprising a cannulated rotatable drive shaft 28, and a wire locking mechanism 32, 34, 36, which maintains the guide wire. The functional

Application/Control Number: 10/014,671

Art Unit: 3731

limitations of claims 1 and 5 do not read over the Boucher device. The device further comprises a cannulated outer sleeve 27. A part of the locking mechanism 36 is disposed within the sleeve 27. With respect to claim 3 and 10, element 44 is considered the cannulated sleeve. The medical device is a fastener 12.

With respect to claim 9, a driver (comprising the proximal end of element 27) is coupled to the rotatable drive shaft.

The guide wire retaining member comprises a driven "shaft" 30 and a retaining mechanism 34. The retaining member is positioned relative to the medical device. After the retaining member is applied to the wire and before the instrument 14 is retracted, the guide wire is maintained at a fixed distance from the tissue. A force is applied to the driven shaft to apply the medical device. The medical device is a cannulated screw. Fig. 1 illustrates the guide wire through the medical device. The retaining member is disposed within the outer sleeve 27.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boucher et al. in view of Barner (US 4,124,026). Boucher is silent regarding a drive comprising

Art Unit: 3731

an electric or pneumatic motor. Nevertheless, Barner teaches a drive using either an electric or pneumatic motor (Col. 2, lines 7-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Boucher device to include a pneumatic or electric motor drive, as taught by Barner, in order to automate the driving force, saving a user time and making the device easier to use.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to disclose or suggest all of the limitations of claims 5 and 13 including, "the locking mechanism ha[ving] a pair of guide wire clamping jaws."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Becker (US 2,248,054), Leibinger et al. (US ,4587,963), Paulos et al. (US 5,071,420), Ross et al. (US 5,203,784), Small et al. (US 5,426,819) and Berger (US 6,436,100).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL J. MILANO
SUPERVISORY PATEUT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

DJD March 19, 2004